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1.Introduction

As the development of internet technologies, it has been observed that collected data is growing in terms of volume, variety, velocity, veracity, and value. This leads to the development of various new data analysis technique. Among these technique, incremental data mining is often used to discover previous unknow and potential useful knowledge from existing and growing information. Decision making based on extracted rule from growing data is one of such important application in incremental data mining. In real life, data usually with high dimension of attributes, it is more practical to select representative features before making decision. In our project, we are focusing on incremental feature selection and make decision based on selected features.

Pawlak’s Rough[cite] set model is one of such theoretical frameworks in feature selection as well as decision making based of selected feature. Feature selection in rough set is also called attribute reduction[cite]. The selected feature is called reduct[cite]. Attribute reduction is a process to reduce the number of attribute and preserve the discernibility of the original data while minimize the class separability[cite]. In the recent decades, several techniques in attribute reduction based on rough set were proposed[cite], but most of them is focus on static data. In the environment of growing data, they lack the ability to update the selected features and must recompute them every time, which is not scalable and efficient in the century of big data. To deal with dynamic data stream, there exist some research on finding reduct incrementally based on rough set[cite]. However, most of them are focusing on update the reduct regarding to the whole database without considering concept drifting. Furthermore, it has been overserved that rough set model is very sensitive to outliers[cite], most of the work listed above also does dealing outlier properly and maybe need more preprocess work before running their techniques.

In terms of decision making, Pawlak’s Rough set also have its drawbacks when dealing with real value attributes, which are usually the dominant attributes in real life. One must implement discretization or categorization before analyzing through rough set. Even with the help of discretization or categorization, traditional rough set theory tends to treat discretized real value as nominal data and ignore some important intrarelationship, such as similarity among them. Fuzzy rough set[cite] is a variant of rough set which treat the real value as a member in fuzzy set to preserve the relationship among real value. Some of our models will also adopt the concept of membership function in fuzzy rough set.

For convenience for the future discussion, here is the description of our assumption, contributions and main idea. This project assumes that the decision attribute in the decision table(dataset) is nominal (crisp), while the conditional attribute could be nominal or numeric. In this project we proposed 3 incremental feature selection and decision rule induction models for decision-making based on crisp rough set (discretize real value data before analyzing) and 3 corresponding feature selection for decision-making models based on our modified rough set model (using membership function in fuzzy rough set concept and using similarity to comparing real value attribute). The 3 proposed incremental crisp rough set models named: incremental voting rough set (IVRS), incremental sliding window rough set (ISwRS), and time fading rough set (TFRS). The 3 proposed modified rough set model called: incremental voting membership rough set (IVMRS), incremental sliding window membership rough set (ISwMRS), and time fading membership rough set (TFMRS). In fact, the 3 crisp models and 3 membership models are correspondingly built on the same base concept with different way to deal with real data value and different techniques in making decision. Inspired by Random Forest[cite] and association rule mining in stream data[cite], our models, unlike most of works, separate the growing dataset into different batches, and preform attribute reduction within each batch then make decision based on the combination of each batch. We perform attribute reduction by implementing the technique of discernibility matrix [cite] and make decision based on the rules induced by LEM2 algorithm[cite] in crisp rough set and based on membership function in membership rough set. These proposed models are robust to outliers since outliers would only affect the processing of attribute reduction they belong to, and outliers are usually rare in the comparison to normal data. 4 of our proposed models, ISwRS, TFRS, ISwMRS, TFMRS, could also handling the problem of concept drifting, which is a popular phenomenon in real life, by putting more attention in the recent data. And the proposed models also tend to be more efficient than other works in the process of attribute reduction since only the reduct in the latest batch will be updated.

The structure of this report is as follow: section 2 will list some relate work, section 3 will introduce some background information in rough set and LEM2 algorithm. section 4 will be the detail of our 3 crisp models. section 5 will introduce some background in fuzzy rough set. section 6 will contain the detail of our 3 membership-based models. Section 7 will be experiment and comparison to other existing models. Section 8 will conclude our paper and outline some futures works.

2.related work

This paragraph contains some variant of rough set model (variable precision rough set, tolerance rough set, decision, theoretical rough set)

This paragraph contains traditional rough set attribute reduction (search tree, discernibility matrix, heuristic)

This paragraph contains some existing work in incremental attribute reduction

3.preliminaries I

In this section, we briefly review some preliminaries in crisp rough set theory[cite] that are related to our project, including lower and upper approximation, reduct, core and rule template; and the LEM2 algorithm[cite] to induce rule in crisp rough set.

3.1 rough set theory

In this project, we focus on the problem in the decision making based on rough set. Let DT = (U, A∪D) be a decision table with nominal conditional attribute A, decision value set D = {d}, and universe of objects U.

Definition 1: An equivalence relation defined by attribute subset B ⊆ A is call B-indiscernibility relation denoted by IND(B) is defined by:

IND(B) = {(x, y) ∈ U × U: a(x) = a(y), ∀ a ∈ B}

Definition 2: for any object x ∈ U, [x]B = {y ∈ U: a(x) = a(y), ∀ a ∈ B}

Definition 3: given an attribute subset B ⊆ A, the lower approximation of B, B↓, and upper approximation of B, B↑, regarding to concept set X is define as:

B↓(X) = {x: [x]B ∈ X}, those x such that all the elements in [x]B is in X

B↑ (X) = {x: [x]B ∩ X ≠ Ø}, those x such that at least one element in [x]B is in X

Definition 4: Suppose U = {x1, x2, x3..., xn}, a n × n matrix M (), is defined as a discernibility matrix of DT = (U, A∪D) if:

and

Definition 5: The core in decision table is defined as:

Definition 6: The discernibility of attribute a is defined as: , and the discernibility of A is:

Definition 7: The reduct Red of a decision table is defined as:

3.2 LEM2 algorithm
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5. preliminaries II

Additional to crisp rough set, in this project we also proposed 3 membership rough set models based on the concept of membership function in fuzzy rough set and similarity measures between real values. This section will give some reviews about relevant definition in fuzzy rough set, fuzzy discernibility matrix and similarity measure.

5.1 fuzzy rough set

With the same definition of decision table, is called a fuzzy decision table. For each condition attribute a ∈ A, one could define a fuzzy binary relation , which is called a fuzzy binary relation if is reflexive, (R(x, x) = 1), symmetric, (R(x, y) = R(y, x)) and sup-min transitive .

Definition 1: the lower and upper approximate of attribute set B, B ⊆ A, regarding the concept set X is define as:

Here, X(u) denote the membership degree of u to a fuzzy set X and RB (x, u) denote the fuzzy equivariance relation between x and u regarding to attribute set B. And RB (x, u) = , where T is a t-norm aggregation function in fuzzy set theory.

One would notice that the definitions of lower and upper approximate is different from the one in the crisp rough set. These definitions are representing the membership degree of x to such lower and upper approximate instead of representing a set of elements.

In the contest of decision table, we mostly concern able the lower and upper approximate membership degree of each x, x ∈ U, regard to its decision class. That is:

In the assumption of our model, decision attribute is always crisp (nominal), then the membership of u to [x]D becomes: . And the definition could be refined as:

The refined lower approximate membership function will be used in our 3 membership rough set models to detect the membership degree of object belong to its decision class’s lower approximate and to making prediction, whereas the binary fuzzy relation is replaced by similarity measures between attributes, which will be covered in next sub-section.

Definition 2: According to [cite], A fuzzy discernibility matrix M () with size n × n is defined as:

5.2 similarity measures between attributes
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Conclusion and future work